REMARKS

[0003] Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all

of the claims of the application. Claims 1-21 are presently pending. Claims

amended herein are 1, 4-7, 12 17 and 20. Claims withdrawn or cancelled herein

are none. New claims added herein are none.

Statement of Substance of Interview

[0004] Examiner Davenport graciously participated in a telephone interview

with the Applicant's representative on August 16, 2007. Applicant greatly

appreciates the Examiner's willingness to talk. Such willingness is invaluable to

both of us in our common goal of an expedited prosecution of this patent

application.

[0005] During the interview, it was discussed how the claims differed from

the cited art, namely Wolfgang. Without conceding the propriety of the rejections

and in the interest of expediting prosecution, several possible clarifying

amendments were proposed.

[0006] The Examiner was receptive to the proposals, and it was

understood that the Examiner indicated that the proposed clarifying claim

amendments appeared to distinguish over the cited art of record. For example, the

Examiner indicated that clarification regarding "combining data and metadata into

a *new* data portion and inserting a *new* metadata portion" distinguished claim 1

over the cited art, namely Wolfgang. However, the Examiner indicated that she

would need to review the cited are more carefully and/or do another search, and

11

requested that the proposed amendments be presented in writing.

Serial No.: 10/686,952 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1677US Atty/Agent: E. John Fain

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee@ha

IEE&hayeS The Business of IP™

Applicant herein amends the claims in the manner discussed during [0007]

the interview. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the pending claims are allowable

over the cited art of record for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.

Formal Request for an Interview

If the Examiner's reply to this communication is anything other than [8000]

allowance of all pending claims, then I formally request an interview with the

Examiner. I encourage the Examiner to call me—the undersigned representative

for the Applicant—so that we can talk about this matter so as to resolve any

outstanding issues quickly and efficiently over the phone.

[0009] Please contact me or my assistant to schedule a date and time for a

telephone interview that is most convenient for both of us. While email works

great for us, I welcome your call to either of us as well. Our contact information

may be found on the last page of this response.

Claim Amendments and Additions

Without conceding the propriety of the rejections herein and in the [0010]

interest of expediting prosecution, Applicant amends claims 1, 4-7, 12, 17 and 20

12

herein.

Serial No.: 10/686,952 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1677US Atty/Agent: E. John Fain

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

www.leehayes.com 509.324.9256

The Business of IP™

Substantive Matters

Claim Rejections under § 101

[0011] Claims 1-11 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. In light

of the specification amendments and claim 1 and 17 amendments presented

herein, Applicant respectfully submits that these claims comply with the

patentability requirements of § 101 and that the § 101 rejections should be

withdrawn. The Applicant further asserts that these claims are allowable.

Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

[0012] If the Examiner maintains the rejection of these claims, then the

Applicant requests additional guidance as to what is necessary to overcome the

rejection.

Claim Rejections under § 102

[0013] Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being

anticipated by Wolfgang (US Patent Number 6,609,223).

[0014] Wolfgang does not disclose or describe "linearly combining the data

and the linear combination coefficients part of the metadata of each of

synchronized incoming packets into a new data portion of an outgoing packet,

and inserting in a **new** metadata portion that is part of the outgoing packet,

new linear combination coefficients that identify the data and the linear

combination coefficients part of the metadata of the synchronized incoming

packets". Furthermore, Wolfgang does not disclose or describe encoding an original

Serial No.: 10/686,952 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1677US

Atty/Agent: E. John Fain RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION lee@hayes

The Business of IP™

n packets of data and wildcard packets into a new data portion, and then adding a

new set of wildcard packets to the original *n* packets, wherein the new wildcard

packets identify anything in the original *n* packets.

light of the amendments [0015] presented herein

decisions/agreements reached during the above-discussed Examiner interview,

Applicant submits that these rejections are moot. Accordingly, Applicant asks the

Examiner to withdraw these rejections and the case be passed along to issuance.

Dependent Claims

In addition to its own merits, each dependent claim is allowable for [0016]

the same reasons that its base claim is allowable. Applicant requests that the

Examiner withdraw the rejection of each dependent claim where its base claim is

allowable.

Conclusion

All pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant [0017]

respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. If

any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, the **Examiner is**

urged to contact me before issuing a subsequent Action. Please

call/email me or my assistant at your convenience.

Serial No.: 10/686,952 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1677US

Atty/Agent: E. John Fain

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

www.leehayes.com 509.324.9256

The Business of IP 14

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated:	9-14-07	
--------	---------	--

By: E. John Fain

E. John Fain Reg. No. 60960 (509) 324-9256 x256 johnf@leehayes.com www.leehayes.com

My Assistant: Carly Bokarica (509) 324-9256 x264 carly@leehayes.com